考研英语英汉翻译历年真题汇编(2) PDF转换可能丢失图片或格式,建议阅读原文

https://www.100test.com/kao_ti2020/110/2021_2022__E8_80_83_E 7_A0_94_E8_8B_B1_E8_c73_110400.htm 8.1997年英译汉试题及 参考译文 Do animals have rights?This is how the question is usually put.It sounds like a useful, ground-clearing way to start. (71) Actually , it isnt , because it assumes that there is an agreed account of human rights, which is something the world does not have. On one view of rights, to be sure, it necessarily follows that animals have none.72) Some philosophers argue that rights exist only within a social contract, as part of an exchange of duties and entitlements. Therefore, animals cannot have rights. The idea of punishing a tiger that kills somebody is absurd, for exactly the same reason, so is the idea that tigers have ringhts. However, this is only one account, and by no means an uncontested one. It denies rights not only to animals but also to some peoplefor instance, to infants , the mentally incapable and future generations. In addition, it is unclear what force a contract can have for people who never consented to it:how do you reply to somebody who says! dont like this contract? The point is this without agreement on the rights of people, arguing about the rights of animals is fruitless. (73) It leads the discussion to extremes at the outset:it invites you to think that animals should be treated either with the consideration humans extend to other humans, or with no consideration at all. This is a false choice. Better to start with another, more fundamental question: is the way we treat animals a moral issue at all? Many deny

it. (74) Arguing from the view that humans are different from animals in every relevant respect, extremists of this kind think that animals lie outside the area of moral choice. Any regard for the suffering of animals is seen as a mistakea sentimental displacement of feeling that should properly be directed to other humans. This view , which holds that torturing a monkey is morally equivalent to chopping wood, may seem bravelylogical. In fact it is simply shallow: the ethical equivalent of learning to crawlis to weigh others interests against one s own. This in turn requires sympathy and imagination:without which there is no capacity for moral thought. To see an animal in pain is enough, for most, to engage sympathy. (75) When that happens, it is not a mistake: it is mankinds instinct for moral reasoning in action, an instinct that should be encouraged rather than laughed at. 1997年英译汉试题参考译文 动物有权力吗?问题通常就是这样提出的。这种提法听起来似 乎有助于把问题讲清楚。(71)事实并非如此,因为这种问 法是以人们对人的权利有一种共识为基础的,而这种共识并 不存在。 诚然,根据对权利的一种看法,必然认为,这只是 一种认识,而且是一种有争议的认识。这种认识不仅剥夺了 动物的权利,而且也剥夺了某些人的权利,例如婴儿,他们 是不会用脑力来思考问题的未来一代人。此外,谁也不清楚 , 对于从来就不同意契约的人来说, 这项契约又有多少约束 力,因为有人要是说我不喜欢这项契约,那你又如何作答呢? 问题的症结是,如果人们对人的权利没有一致的看法,那么 争论动物的权利是徒劳无益的?(73)这种说法从一开始就将 讨论引向两个极端,它使人们认为应这样对待动物:要么像对

人类自身一样关切体谅,要么完全冷漠无情。这是一处错误 的选择。最好换一种更为根本性的提法:我们对待动物的同情 感用到关心动物的身上。 许多人否认这种提法。(74)这类 人持极端看法,认为人与动物在各相关方面都不相同,对待 动物无须考虑道德问题。任何关心动物疾苦的想法都是错误 的,因为它把应该用来关心其他人的同情感用到关心动物的 身上。这种观点认为,折磨猴子从道义上讲无异于劈柴。这 种看法似乎是大胆的逻辑推理。实际上,这种看法是非常肤 浅的,因为它逻辑混乱,所以应该摒弃。道德推理的最初级 形式,和学习爬行的论理一样,是针对自身利益去权衡他人 利益。这就需要同情心和将心比心的想像力,没有这两点就 无法用道德观念来进行思考。看到动物受苦足以使大多数人 产生同情感。(75)这种反应并不错,这是人类用道德观念 进行推理的本能在起作用。这种本能应该得到鼓励,而不应 遭到嘲笑。 9.1998年英译汉试题及参考译文 They were by far , the largest and most distant objects that scientists had ever detected:a strip of enormous cosmic clouds some 15 billion lightyears from earth. (71) But even more important, it was the farthest that scientists had been able to look into the past, for what they were seeing were the patterns and structures that existed 15 billion yeays ago. That was just about the moment that the universe was born. What the researchers found was at once both amazing and expected: the US National Aeronautics and Space Administrations Cosmic Background Explorer satelliteCobehad discovered landmark evidence that the universe did in fact begin with the primeval explosion that has become known as the Big Bang (the theory that

the universe originated in an explosion from a single mass of energy). (72) The existence of the giant clouds was virtually required for the Big Bang, first put forward in the 1920s, to maintain its reign as the dominant explanation of the cosmos. According to the theory, the universe burst into being as a submicroscopic , unimaginably dense knot of pure energy that flew outward in all directions, emitting radiation as it went, condensing into particles and then into atoms of gas. Over billions of years, the gas was compressed by gravity into galaxies, stars, plants and eventually , even humans. Cobe is designed to see just the biggest structures , but astronomers would like to see much smaller hot spots as well , the seeds of local objects like clusters and superclusters of galaxies. They shouldnt have long to wait. (73) Astrophysicists working with groundbased detectors at the South Pole and balloonborne instruments are closing in on such structures, and may report their findings soon. (74) If the small hot spots look as expected, that will be a triumph for yet another scientific idea, a refinement of the Big Bang called the inflationary universe theory. Inflation says that very early on , the universe expanded in size by more than a trillion trillion trillion trillionfold in much less than a second, propelled by a sort of antigravity. (75) Odd though it sounds, cosmic inflation is a scientifically plausible consequence of some respected ideas in elementaryparticle physics , and many astrophysicists have been convinced for the better part of a decade that it is true. 100Test 下载频道开通,各类考试题目 直接下载。详细请访问 www.100test.com