GRE作文入门和进阶八 PDF转换可能丢失图片或格式,建议阅读原文

https://www.100test.com/kao\_ti2020/123/2021\_2022\_GRE\_E4\_BD \_9C\_E6\_96\_87\_E5\_c86\_123561.htm 142.The article entitled Eating Iron in last months issue of Eating for Health reported that a recent study found a correlation between high levels of iron in the diet and an increased risk of heart disease. Further, it is well established that there is a link between large amounts of red meat in the diet and heart disease, and red meat is high in iron. On the basis of the study and the well-established link between red meat and heart disease, we can conclude that the correlation between high iron levels and heart disease, then, is most probably a function of the correlation between red meat and heart disease. 析题:仔细读过,发现这道 题有点绕,很多考生曾经有过这样的困惑:"我没有理解最 后一句话的意思is most probably a function of the correlation between red meat and heart disease.是说high iron level于heart disease之间的关系是red meat与heart disease之间有关的结果那 作者到底是认为high iron level和heart disease之间有没有关系阿 ?"再读之下,我们会发现作者其实做了一个顺接推论:red meat引起心脏病> red meat里面还有大量的铁> 高铁引起心脏 病,就是这么一个简单的推论过程,关键认清谁推出谁,就 要在审题时注意到关键的这么看似不经意却被友好的ETS"重 复两次"的短语"well established",也就是说"大量红肉与 心脏病之间一定有联系"是不容质疑的论据,即本题论据是 不容批驳的,关键问题在于由论据推导出结论的时候犯了 "Implicit causal claims"和"gratuitous assumptions"(详细逻

辑谬误分类见后文"七宗罪"),因而我们就可以以次展开攻击。很多来自网上的文章和提纲在本题上颠倒了推导对象,把"高铁引起心脏病"作为论据来推出"red meat引起心脏病",结果导致文章失误。下面读者可通过以下范文检验一下该论证过程和思路:100Test下载频道开通,各类考试题目直接下载。详细请访问www.100test.com