

GMAT考试写作指导：Argument范文二 PDF转换可能丢失图片或格式，建议阅读原文

https://www.100test.com/kao_ti2020/126/2021_2022_GMAT_E8_80_83_E8_AF_95_c89_126745.htm 60. This editorial argues that, since career advancement for scientists typically require: 60 to 80 hours of work per week, affordable all-day child care must be made available to scientists of both genders if they are to advance in their fields. Moreover, the editorial urges that requirements for career advancement be made more flexible to insure that pre-school children can spend a significant amount of time each day with a parent. This argument is problematic in two crucial respects. The major problem with the view expressed in the article is that inconsistent recommendations are endorsed in the argument. On the one hand, scientists are urged to put their children in all-day child-care facilities in order to advance their careers. On the other hand, they are encouraged to spend a significant amount of time each day with their children. Obviously, scientists cannot be expected to adhere to both of these recommendations. Another problem is that the recommendations are based on the assumption that e or at least most. scientists have young or preschool-age children. But the editorial provides no evidence to support this assumption, nor is this assumption very likely to be true. Since, childless scientists or scientists whose children are old enough t: take care of themselves will have no need for the services advocated in this article it is doubtful that these recommendations will receive much widespread support. In conclusion, this argument is unconvincing.

To strengthen it, the author must show that most scientists have preschool children and consequently are in need of the recommended services. Additionally, the author must address and resolve the apparent conflict between the recommendations. 100Test
下载频道开通，各类考试题目直接下载。详细请访问
www.100test.com