

GMAT考试写作指导：Argument范文二十 PDF转换可能丢失
图片或格式，建议阅读原文

https://www.100test.com/kao_ti2020/164/2021_2022_GMAT_E8_80_83_E8_AF_95_c89_164648.htm 80. In this editorial, the author argues that it makes financial sense for employers to make the workplace safer, in support of this claim the author reasons that since wages paid to employees should increase as the risk of physical injury increases, the converse should be true as well. Hence, by decreasing the risk of injury, employers could decrease the wages paid to workers and thereby save money. This argument is unconvincing for two reasons. To begin with the author assumes that because companies would agree that as risk of injury increases wages should also increase, they would also agree that as risk decreases wages should also decrease accordingly. This is tantamount to the assumption that risk of injury is the primary factor that determines workers wages. It is obvious that few employers, and even fewer employees, would agree that this is the case. To adopt this position one would have to disregard education, experience, and skill as equally important factors in determining the wages paid to workers. Secondly, the authors reasoning suggests that the only benefit of a safer workplace is the savings employers could realize from lower wages. This is obviously not true. The costs associated with accidents on the job could far outweigh any savings that could be realized by paying workers lower wages. In conclusion, the authors argument is unconvincing. Risk of injury is an important factor to consider in determining the wages paid to workers but is not the only such

factor. Furthermore, there are far better reasons for employers to make the workplace safe than the one presented by the author.

100Test 下载频道开通，各类考试题目直接下载。详细请访问
www.100test.com