

2008春季词汇辅导班精彩文篇推荐一 PDF转换可能丢失图片或格式，建议阅读原文

https://www.100test.com/kao_ti2020/204/2021_2022_2008_E6_98_A5_E5_AD_A3_c73_204382.htm 影剧篇 When Artists Distort History 第一篇：(1) King Richard III was a monster. He poisoned his wife, stole the throne from his two young nephews and ordered them to be smothered in the Tower of London. Richard was a sort of Antichrist the King “ that bottled spider, that pois ’ nous bunch-back ’ d toad. (2) Anyway, that was Shakespeare ’ s version. Shakespeare did what the _____ does: he turned history into a vivid, articulate, organized dream repeatable nightly. He put the crouch-back onstage, and sold tickets. (3) And who would say that the real Richard known to family and friends was not identical to Shakespeare ’ s memorably loathsome creation? The actual Richard went dimming into the past and vanished. When all the eye-witnesses are gone, the artist ’ s imagination begins to conjure. (4) Variations on the King Richard Effect are at work in Oliver Stone ’ s JFK. Richard _____ was art, but it was propaganda too. Shakespeare took the details of his plot from Tudor historians who wanted to blacken Richard ’ s name. Several centuries passed before other historians began to write about Richard ’ s virtues and suggest that he may have been a victim of Tudor malice and what is the cleverest _____ of all: art. (5) JFK is a long and powerful discourse about the death of the man Stone keeps calling “ the slayed young king. ” What are the rules of Stone ’ s game? Is Stone functioning as commercial entertainer? Propagandist? Documentary filmmaker?

Historian? Journalist? Fantasist? Sensationalist? Paranoid conspiracy-monger? Lone hero crusading for the truth against a corrupt Establishment? Answer: some of the above. (6) The first superficial effect of JFK is to raise angry little scruples like wounds in the conscience. Wouldn't it be absurd if a generation of younger Americans, with no memory of 1963, were to form their ideas about John Kennedy's assassination from Oliver Stone's report of it? But worse things have happened including, perhaps, the Warren Commission report? (7) Stone's movie and the Warren report are interestingly symmetrical: the Warren Commission was insensitively, one might say pathologically, unsuspecting, while in every scene of the Stone film conspiracy theories move painfully underfoot like snakes. In a strange way, the two reports balance one another out. It may be _____ to accord Stone's movie a status coequal with the Warren report. On the other hand, the Warren report has endured through the years as a monolith of obscure suppression, a smooth tomb of denial. Stone's movie, for all its wild gesticulations, at least refreshes the memory and gets a long-cold curiosity and contempt glowing again. (8) The irresponsibility of the Warren report somehow makes one less indignant about Stone's methods and the 500 kitchen sinks that he has heaved into his story. His technique is admirable as storytelling and now and then preposterous as historical inquiry. But why should the American people expect a moviemaker to assume _____ for producing the last word on the Kennedy assassination when the government, historians and news media have all pursued the subject so

imperfectly? (9) Stone uses a suspect, mixed art form, and JFK raises the familiar ethical and historical problems of docu-drama. But so what? Artists have always used public events as raw material, have taken history into their imagi-nations and transformed it. The fall of Troy vanished into the Iliad. The Battle of Borodino found its most memorable permanence in Tolstoy ' s imagining of it in War and Peace. (10) Especially in a world of insatiable electronic storytelling, real history procreates, endlessly conjuring new versions of itself. Public life has become a metaphysical breeder of fictions. Watergate became an almost con-tinuous television miniseries although it is interesting that the movie of Woodward and Bernstein ' s All The President ' s Men stayed close to the known facts and, unlike JFK, did not validate dark guess. (11) Some public figures have a story magic, and some do not. Richard Nixon possesses an indefinable, em-barrassed dark gleam that somehow fascinates. And John Kennedy, despite everything, still has the bright glam-our that works best of all. Works, that is, except when the subject is his assassination. That may be a matter still too sacred, too raw and unassimilated. The long American passivity about the death in Dallas may be a sort of hypnosis or a grief that hardened into a will not to know. Do not let daylight in upon magic. (12) Why is Stone ' s movie different from any other imaginative treatment of history? Is it because the assassi-nation of John Kennedy was so traumatic, the bady boomers ' End of Childhood? Or that Americans have santi-fied it as official tragedy, a title that confers immunity from irreligious revisionists who would reopen the grave? Are artists and moviemakers by such

logic prohibited from stories about the Holocaust? The Holocaust, of course, is known from the outset to be a satanic plot. For some reason a native individualism, maybe many Americans resist dark theories about J.F.K. ' s death, and think those retailing them are vending foreign, anarchist goods. Real Americans hate conspiracies as something unclean. (13) Perhaps the memory of the assassination is simply too fresh. An outraged movie like Stone ' s intrudes upon a semipermanent mourning. Maybe the subject should be embargoed for some period of time, withheld from artists and entertainers, in the same way the Catholic Church once declined to consider sainthood until the person in question had been dead for 50 years. 100Test 下载频道开通 , 各类考试题目直接下载。详细请访问 www.100test.com