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https／／www．100tes．com／kao＿ti2020／645／2021＿2022＿2004＿E5＿B9＿ B412＿E6＿c52＿645012htm Relevant to：Paper 12Professional scheme The structure of thispaper wasidentical to recent previous sittingswith 25 compulsory multiple choicequestionsin Section A and five compulsory 10 mark questionsin Section B．Section A The questions in thissection came from right acrossthe syllabusand the topicstested complemented the topicsset in Section B．Each question carried two marks．There wasthe usual mixture of computational and descriptive questions Questionson the following topicswere least well answered：cost behaviour，break even charts， relevant costsfor decision making，costvolumeprofit analysisand processcosting involving work in process and equivalent units． Section B Q uestion 1 Thisquestion tested various aspects of process costing including normal and abnormal losesand abnormal gains The processalso involved the creation of two joint products．Part（a） required candidatesto produce one processaccount which included the volumesand valuationsof thejoint productsseparately．Errors madeby weaker candidateswere：To produce more than one processaccount．To present an answer in the wrong format an account was required．To show the combined output and value of thejoint products．To incorrectly calculate the abnormal lossin the process To show the normal lossashaving no realisable value．Part （b）required candidatesto explain how an abnormal gain arisesand how it should be treated in a processaccount．Thispart was
answered well by many candidates Q uestion 2Thisquestion involved cost-volume profit analysisfor asingle product situation. In part (a) candidateswere required to calculate the contribution per unit and the total profit for the current year from the information given. The key to doing thiswasto be able to apply the given contribution to salesratio correctly to the given variable cost per unit. The calculationsinvolved were incorrectly doneby many candidates Part (b) required candidatesto calculate how many units of the product should be produced and sold in the next year to achieve atarget profit given that the selling price and costswere increasing by different percentæges A very common error wasto uæe the fixed cost per unit given and adjust thisfor the percentrge increase in cost rather than applying it to the total fixed cost. Previousexaminer' scommentshave emphasised the importance of candidatesshowing clear workingsin their answers Thisquestion wasaclassic example of onewhere the common error in part (a) already referred to - did not mean that markswere automatically lost in part (b) even though it involved using the figuresalready calculated in part (a). A slong asthe workingswere clearly shown in part (b), a candidate could have scored full marksin part (b) using the wrong figuresbrought forward from part (a). The written part of thisquestion (c) required candidatesto explain and give an example of asemi-variable cost and to explain how such acost isdealt with in costvolumeprofit analysis. Part (c) wasthe best answered part of this question. Question 3Thisquestion required the calculation of two salesvariancesfor a company using absorption costing and an
explanation of who in the organisation would need such variance information. The last part of the question tested candidates understanding of the difference between absorption costing and marginal costing. A nswersto the calculation of the two straightforward salesvariancesweregenerally very disappointing. Common errorswere: To uæethe production figuresgiven rather than the salesfigures in calculating the salesvolume variance. To calculate a salesvolume turnover variance rather than the sales volume profit variance asclearly stated in the requirementsto the question. To base the sales price variance on budgeted sales (or even production) rather than on actual salesunits. To fail to indicate clearly whether the variancescalculated were adverse or favourable. In part (b) candidatesoften wrote at length about the posible causes of the variancescalculated in part (a) which wasnot required and gained no credit. Part (b) was about identifying who in the organisation should have the salesvariancesreported to them and why. A surprisingly large number of candidatesdid not specifically mention the salesor marketing managersat all in their answers. Part (c) required candidatesto calculate the budgeted profit under absorption costing and the equivalent figure if marginal costing had been in use. Many candidates produced unnecessarily elaborate answers. For example, full trading statementswere not necessary to arrive at the profits A very common error wasto produce actual profitsthe requirement to the question had the requirement for BUDGETED profit in capitals. Question 4Most candidatesfound thisquestion on the economic order quantity (EOQ) concept the
easiest on the paper. In part (a) the EOQ for two different years needed to be calculated. Errorsthat arose involved misreading the question (the cost of placing an order roseby pound.11) and incorrect substitutionsinto the formulathat wasgiven on the examination paper. Part (b) caused alot more problemsto candidatesit involved the calculation of the extracost of ordering and holding stock between one year and the next. A significant number of candidateshad little idea about how to calculate the annual costsinvolved even though they had correctly calculated the EOQ in part (a). The hhort descriptive part (c) waswell answered by most candidates In line with the marks, quite brief answerswere expected as candidatesonly needed to " identify" major holding and ordering costs Some candidateswasted time by writing at length about these costs Q uestion 5The last question on the paper involved scarce resourcesfor two periods In the first period there wasa aingle scarce resource and in the second two scarce resources. Therefore alinear programming approach wasonly required in part (b) for the second period. Common errorsmadeby candidates were: To try and use linear programming in part (a) instead of calculating the contribution per unit of limiting factor for each product. To ignore the requirement in both parts (a) and (b) to calculate the resultant total contribution for the optimal production plans. To bare the optimal plan in part (a) on the product with the highest contribution per unit. To ignore the information given in the question that the optimal plan in the second period involved a combination of both products. To muddle up values and unitsin the
same constraint in part（b）．It wassurprising to find asignificant number of candidatesperforming better in part（b）than in part（a） of thisquestion．100T est 下载频道开通，各类考试题目直接下载。详细请访问 www．100test．com

